1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	HOUSTON DIVISION
4	IN RE: \$ CASE NO. 20-33948-11 \$ HOUSTON, TEXAS
5	FIELDWOOD ENERGY, LLC, \$ THURSDAY, ET AL, \$ JUNE 17, 2021
6	DEBTORS. \$ 4:01 P.M. TO 4:37 P.M.
7	STATUS CONFERENCE (VIA ZOOM)
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
13	RECORDED VIA COURTSPEAK; NO LOG NOTES
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC 935 Eldridge Road, #144
22	Sugar Land, TX 77478 281-277-5325
23	www.judicialtranscribers.com
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
25	transcript produced by transcription service.

1 APPEARANCES (VIA ZOOM):

2 3 FOR: Galveston Bay Pipeline, LLC ALFREDO R. PEREZ, ESQ. Debtor ERI MARIE CHOI, ESQ. PAUL GENENDER, ESQ. 5 Weil Gotshal & Manges, LLP 700 Louisiana Street 6 Suite 1700 Houston, Texas 77002 7 (713) 546-5040 8 Zurich Insurance Company DUANE J. BRESCHIA, ESQ. 9 Creditor Clark, Hill, Strasburger 720 Brazos Street Suite 700 10 Austin, Texas 78701 11 (512) 499-3647 12 HCCI International Insurance PHILIP G. EISENBERG, ESQ. 13 Company, PLC Locke Lord, PC Creditor 600 Travis Suite 3400 14 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 226-1304 15 16 ATTORNEY GRIFT 17 BP Exploration & CRAIG DUEWALL, ESQ. 18 Production, Inc. Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1000 Louisiana Interested Party Suite 1700 19 Houston, Texas 77002 20 (713) 374-3612 21 Ecopetrol America, LLC KELLY SINGER, ESQ. 22 Interested Party Squire Patton 1 East Washington Street 23 Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 528-4000 24 25

APPEARANCES (CONT'D - VIA ZOOM): Atlantic Maritime BENJAMIN W. KADDEN, ESQ. Services, LLP Lugenbuhl Wheaton Creditor 601 Poydras Street Suite 2755 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 (504) 568-1990(504) 310-9195 (fax) (Please also see Electronic Appearances.)

HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, JUNE 17, 2021; 4:01 P.M.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We're here in the Fieldwood Energy case. It's 20-33948. Electronic appearances have been made.

Before we begin the formality of the hearing, in 1866, General Order No. 3 was read in Galveston, Texas.

General Order No. 3 is the order that implemented the Emancipation Proclamation in our state. The State of Texas was the first state to adopt Juneteenth as a state holiday.

Earlier today, the President signed after Congress had passed a bill that recognizes Juneteenth as a federal holiday, and the first Juneteenth celebration is tomorrow.

The court will be closed tomorrow.

I have begun to clear out Monday as a date for hearings in this case depending upon what I'll hear today, but I think it is important to recognize the actions of our elected representatives and the importance of Juneteenth, not only to the country, but to the history of our state and the history of our district. It would be difficult to imagine that Juneteenth originating in this district will not be recognized in this district as a federal holiday.

So I know that probably takes people by surprise who didn't even know that tomorrow was a federal holiday, but about an hour ago, I believe, tomorrow became a federal holiday. So I haven't really gotten everything moved on

Monday yet, and I know that means you'll need to be agile about what we're doing, but that is where we are.

I will be able to start as early in the morning Monday as is appropriate given everyone's schedule. You know, I would suggest people think about an 8:00 a.m. start. We could do a 7:00 a.m. start if you all want to, but that is where we are.

So, Mr. Perez, I know that you always like an unusual hearing, and now, you are in one. Tell me where we are on the case.

MR. PEREZ: Yes, Your Honor. I think you already have a commitment at 8:00 a.m. on Monday.

THE COURT: I was going to move it.

MR. PEREZ: Oh, okay, then. We had a hard time getting it that time. Okay. All right, Your Honor.

But, Your Honor, obviously, we understand, you know, the importance of the situation and the need to move the hearing. It is -- obviously, we are prepared to go forward on Monday, and we'll be prepared to go forward.

We did have a meet-and-confer. There has been a request for a continuance on the part of our objectors. We would strongly oppose any continuance beyond Monday. Your Honor, as we sit today, we're having the first storm in the Gulf. Fieldwood is in the process of evacuating a portion of their installations; and to the extent that we want to

accomplish the goals in our Plan, which is to decommission assets. That needs to begin, you know, sooner rather than later because the longer we wait, the less chance of it getting done this summer, and that just increases the ability of the Debtor and all of the other parties to do it.

Your Honor, the objectors had a kind of a litany of things that they are complaining about. I mean, we're prepared to address each and every one of them. Obviously, to -- we are -- we had numerous objections, and we're having numerous discussions. The Confirmation Order and the Plan will need to keep changing in order to address these things.

The most recent change that we made is as a result of discussions that we've had with the Government. I think that, obviously, the Government can speak for themselves, but there are going to be changes as there is in every process, especially when you have one as complicated as this.

I am -- I think that this case has been, you know, originally, we wanted to come out in April, we wanted come out in May, and, you know, we'd love to be able to present our case and have the Court decide whether we've met the requirements of 1129, but we need to -- we need to be able to put on our case, Your Honor. And obviously, we're prepared to go as quickly as possible on Monday as need be, and obviously, come prepared to address any objections, but

I think for the purposes of this Debtor, time is not our friend.

THE COURT: With respect to exhibits that are

going to be offered on, now, Monday, did the parties of the meet-and-confer have an opportunity to discuss the exhibits?

MR. PEREZ: Your Honor, we did, and I think that

I'll let Ms. Choi speak to the actual exhibits. We had put in some Declarations that we thought were non-controversial.

Nobody wanted to do that, so we're going to have to put on all our witnesses to prove up 1129, which, you know, frankly, you know, we understand that the goal here is to,

But as it relates to the, you know, I -- and again, I'll let Ms. Choi speak -- but I don't think that there is going to be a big, huge fight on exhibits. It's primarily with respect to the Declarations.

you know, put as much time between us and confirmation as

THE COURT: All right. Yeah, look, it's my view that, as I think you're acknowledging in your statement, Declarations are not admissible over an objection. So --

MR. PEREZ: Correct.

possible, so we're prepared to do that.

THE COURT: -- it's an easy call. People want to have live witnesses; we'll have live witnesses. I will require that people not stipulate as to the Declarations at all. That is not true or not appropriate, but I will

require people -- both sides -- to stipulate to facts that aren't contested so that I can I have an organized hearing, and there's a far different -- it's a far different thing to stipulate as to uncontested facts than it is to allow Declarations to come in.

So the parties need to get that done, and we'll start the hearing on Monday with a statement of stipulations that are agreed to, to the extent that we have uncontested facts. If we have no uncontested facts, we have no uncontested facts, but I have a hard time thinking that there aren't at least some uncontested facts in the case.

Ms. Choi, did you want to add anything to that, and then, we're going to let anyone else speak that wishes to speak at the beginning of the hearing?

Ms. Choi, go ahead.

MS. CHOI: Yes, Your Honor. Just to clarify, we actually did reach agreement with respect to two of the Declarations, our Prime Clerk Voting Declaration and the Declaration of Mark Brown relating to the liquidation analysis. There were no objections to the admission of those. It's the remaining Declarations, so I just wanted to clarify that.

THE COURT: So which two Declarations are you now offering? What are their ECF numbers?

MS. CHOI: Debtors' Exhibits -- let me see.

```
1
   Apologies, Your Honor. Let me get those numbers, please.
2
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 3
             MS. CHOI: Your Honor --
 4
             MR. PEREZ: Mr. Brown's is -- go ahead.
 5
             MS. CHOI: -- the Debtor's -- yeah. Sorry. It's
 6
   Debtors' Exhibit -- so 45 which was originally ECF No. 1521,
7
   but when we submitted it with our Exhibit List, it had a
8
   different number.
9
             THE COURT: Where do I find the exhibits on --
             MS. CHOI: And then --
10
11
              THE COURT: -- the docket sheet?
12
             MS. CHOI: The Exhibit List is 1648, but I'm
    trying to find those numbers for you, so let me just --
13
14
   apologies for the delay.
15
              THE COURT: What --
             MR. PEREZ: I think it's 1648-45, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: I don't think we have subs to 1648.
18
    It looks like one big document.
19
             MR. PEREZ: Oh, well, that -- well, we'll re-file
20
    that, Your Honor.
                       That shouldn't have been the case.
21
              THE COURT: Yeah, okay. So we have an offer of
22
    15-21 as an exhibit. What else do you have an offer of?
23
             MS. CHOI: The other one is 1555, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Tell me again.
25
             MS. CHOI: It's ECF 1555.
```

```
THE COURT: So we have an offer of 1521 and of
1
    1555 as Declarations to be admitted at the hearing.
2
 3
              Do we have any objections?
 4
              Mr. Brescia.
 5
              Mr. BRESCIA: Oh, Your Honor, my objection wasn't
   to those two documents, I just had something to say about
 6
7
    the timing of things to like to re-raise what I raised
   yesterday. So I can wait until you finish this point.
8
9
              THE COURT: That would be great. I'll just leave
10
   your line open and let you speak up when we get there.
11
              MR. BRESCIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Mr. Eisenberg.
13
              MR. EISENBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
    Philip Eisenberg on behalf of HCCI International Limited.
14
              Yes. We -- as long as 1545 and 1555, I think it
15
16
   is, by the Prime Clerk and Brown Declarations, we have no
17
    objection to those. I just -- I want to just confirm that
18
    that's what they are, Your Honor, because not that
    they're --
19
20
              THE COURT: So --
21
              MR. EISENBERG: -- moving around so fast.
22
              THE COURT: -- 1555 -- 1-5-5-5 is the Declaration
23
   of Mark Brown.
24
              MR. EISENBERG: Yes, sir.
25
              THE COURT: And 1521 --
```

```
1
              MR. EISENBERG: Oh, 2-1.
              THE COURT: -- is the Declaration of Alex
 2
    Orchowski of Prime Clerk.
 3
 4
              MR. EISENBERG: Got you, Your Honor. No objection
 5
    on those, Your Honor. Thank you for making sure.
 6
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      There are no objections
 7
    then. Those two are admitted.
 8
         (Exhibits ECF Nos. 1555 and 1521 received in evidence.)
 9
              MS. CHOI: Your Honor, with respect to the
10
    remaining exhibits, we are still working through and we
    agreed in principle to the way we're approaching things, but
11
    need to actually go through and get the list for you. So if
12
    we may -- that housekeeping matter, bring those agreed
13
    exhibits to you on Monday at the beginning of the hearing,
14
15
    or we file something in advance?
              THE COURT: I'd like it filed as soon as it's
16
17
    ready, so.
18
              MS. CHOI: Okay.
              THE COURT: All right.
19
20
              Mr. Brescia, go ahead.
              MR. BRESCIA: Thank you, Your Honor. This is
21
22
    Duane Brescia for Zurich American Insurance Company.
23
              Yesterday at the hearing, I did raise some
24
    concerns -- brought some of those facts to Your Honor's
25
    attention, so basically, everything has been filed this
```

week. There have been 2700 pages of documents filed a couple of days ago.

I know some of those are old documents, some of them are brand-new documents, some of them are redlined, and some of them are not. And then there's been a second or a Third Amended Plan Supplement and a Fourth Amended Plan Supplement, and I think there is now a Fifth Amended Plan, and it's just, you know, we're really troubled by some of the things that are in there.

They are changing the treatment of creditors here. One of them is they're removing subrogation rights of the parties. That is a brand-new issue. With respect to my client, Zurich, we're dealing with the Apache assets which are placed into Fieldwood One.

I raised to Your Honor's attention yesterday that we still don't even know what treatment is going to be of creditors and of Fieldwood One.

Throughout the last several weeks in this case, we were understanding and believed that there was going to be a \$45 million, I guess, credit or line pooled that is offered by Apache to be stuck in a trust, to be set aside for plugging and abandoning, and then in their brief, they mention that that's not going to be there anymore, but there's a \$50 million line of credit that is going to be a working capital line.

Now, that working capital line may be a good thing for Fieldwood One. It may not be a good thing for Fieldwood One. It may harm creditors or may not harm creditors. But that -- we just -- it's not been filed yet. It's not been presented to people. We don't know whether it's good or bad.

And so they can pool it, but we don't know if the 45 million is still there. I mean, to try to prepare for a hearing tomorrow and still the fundamental aspects of this case are changing has been pretty difficult, and I raise a due process concern that we just don't have enough time.

And so I requested of the Debtor to extend it a week until next Friday to start then. I'm renewing that request to Your Honor today. You can take judicial notice of everything that has been filed -- all the redlines and all the changes. It's too early to say whether all of it is material, or none of it is material. I'm sure some of it is material. We just need some time to digest it all and find out what's going on.

I have no -- it's not problematic. I mean, I understand the Debtor wants to move as fast as possible because it's now in their advantage, and it's not to the advantage of objecting creditors.

So I just wanted to raise that to Your Honor's attention. I don't think Monday is going to work yet. I

think at least next week so we can get a handle on what's there; and then if there's additional issues, we can raise it to Your Honor some time later next week before next Friday.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Brescia.
Mr. Eisenberg.

MR. EISENBERG: Yeah, I, Your Honor -- oh, thank you, Your Honor. Philip Eisenberg for HCCI International Limited.

In addition to the modifications that Mr. Brescia mentioned, there were other redline changes to both the effective date, and there are still open disclosure issues with regard to cure and footnotes with regard to executory contracts, whether they are or not, how they're going to be treated. We don't know how the Apache Decommissioning Agreement is going to be cured yet. It's critical for us.

There is an effective date condition with regard to working out an arrangement with the Government that we really don't have a lot of insight into except that it is condition precedent to the Plan going effective, and we don't know how that arrangement might affect the creditors who -- and some of the sureties who might have bonds on properties that are going to be addressed, whether part of the arrangement is going to involve or impact those bonds in any way. And that just happened yesterday, as well, between

our hearing yesterday and today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so I echo Mr. Brescia's concern with regard to those items, and I just wanted to kind of point out that some of the P&A Agreements where we had just term sheets for some of the properties in Fieldwood Three and Fieldwood Four, they now have full-blown agreements. Those were part of the 2700 pages, and one of the parties, at least, for Fieldwood Four, Chevron has been added into the litany of exculpated parties in this case, and they weren't there before yesterday, as far as I'm aware.

And so I just wanted to add an echo of what Mr. Brescia said.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Eisenberg.

Mr. Grift.

MR. GRIFT: Thank you, Your Honor. And I rise today just essentially to echo the comments of Mr. Brescia and Mr. Eisenberg and join in the application for a continuance on behalf of Everest, Theriot, Aspen, and Berg (phonetics).

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How is Marvin?

MR. GRIFT: He's good. He's very good.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. MARVIN: I'm in the office today, so I don't 25 have him, but --

```
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
 1
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
 2
 3
              THE COURT: So I --
 4
              MR. PEREZ: Can I respond, Your Honor?
 5
              THE COURT: You don't need to for now I don't
 6
    think.
 7
              MR. PEREZ: Okay.
 8
              THE COURT: I'm going to start the hearing Monday
 9
    at 8:00 a.m. I am not going to do a trial by ambush, but I
10
    don't get the sense, at this point have a sense, that I'm
11
    going to be doing a trial by ambush. It is normal routine
    and unavoidable that in a highly complex case there will
12
13
    always be moving parts as things get done.
              However, the fact that I'm going to start Monday
14
15
    at 8:00 a.m., doesn't mean that if something comes up where
16
    it is unfair to proceed with a witness or a document or an
17
    issue because of some sort of trial by ambush -- and I know
18
   no one besides me is even using that pejorative term; people
    are just saying things are changing, and we need more time
19
20
    -- I'm going to wait and see that when I see what is really
21
    happening because there is no way for me to determine that
22
    today.
23
              So we're starting Monday at 8:00 a.m. I don't
    think that the lawyers representing the sureties are bashful
24
25
   people; and if you all get to the point where you think
```

that, you know, I'm making a mistake starting there because your clients' due process rights are being invaded, show it to me when I'm in the hearing. And it's going to be fine with me. I'll let you speak about it.

But right now in the abstract, the mere fact that things are moving pieces isn't enough to not proceed promptly with a trial. Plus, we have the inconvenient bonus of you just got four days just by an act of Congress, which not many people get their continuances by act of Congress.

So you do have a little bit more time. That may not be enough, but it may also be that going until next Friday isn't enough because, frankly, every time we wait a day, there will be more moving pieces that people fix or close or backfill, and I'm just going to have to look at it as we go.

But I am not telling anyone -- and Mr. Perez, I don't -- I didn't let you speak. I don't want you taking too much comfort that we're starting Monday at 8:00 a.m. If it turns out that -- and I'll just give an example that having Chevron as a -- an exculpated party is new and adverse and material, and people need to understand it better, they may get their continuance on something like that. But I'm just -- I can't decide that in the abstract. I really don't have enough information until we get into it. But I may continue things or maybe some -- require some

```
1
   witnesses to return. I doubt we finish on Monday, but you
 2
    know, maybe, we will.
 3
              So I'm going to proceed on Monday, but I'm not
 4
   going to limit people's ability to make the very arguments
 5
    they're making today once I get my arms around the
    information a little bit better.
 6
7
              MR. PEREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
              THE COURT: Let me hear from anyone where Monday
 9
   at 8:00 a.m. is itself a problem in terms of, you know,
10
    somebody has childcare responsibility or something else
    where we can't start Monday at 8:00.
11
12
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: Okay. I assume nobody really wants to
13
   start much earlier than that; is that right, or does anybody
14
15
    want to get started a little earlier than that?
16
              I heard laughter at that suggestion, so I guess,
17
   that means Monday at 8:00 --
18
              MR. PEREZ: Your Honor, I'm up at -- I'm up at
    6:00, so I'm as early, but 8:00 is fine, Your Honor.
19
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Perez --
21
              MR. PEREZ: It is either --
22
              THE COURT: -- I'll just tell you that as we
23
    continue to age, we get up about 30 minutes earlier every
24
    two years. So I've got you beat at 6:00.
25
              Anyone else?
```

```
1
         (No audible response.)
 2
             THE COURT: Okay.
 3
             MR. BRESCIA: Your Honor, again, this is Duane
 4
   Brescia for Zurich.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Brescia, go ahead.
 6
             MR. BRESCIA: Yeah. I appreciate the Court's
7
    time. Again, Duane Brescia for Zurich American Insurance
8
    Company.
9
              I understand the Court's point about the start
    time on Monday at 8:00 a.m. There is at least a couple of
10
11
    issues I want to make sure gets raised here today.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
             MR. BRESCIA: Not starting time issues.
13
14
             THE COURT: No, go ahead.
             MR. BRESCIA: One of them -- yeah, no. So one of
15
16
   them that kind of came up in our discussion, and I'm not
17
    sure got finally settled, I'm -- and I'm -- I don't really
18
   have an opinion one way or the other as for how this Court's
19
   going to proceed.
20
             There are a number of highly confidential
21
    documents. Those documents are the Debtors' -- produced by
    the Debtors in another case. There will be testimony about
22
23
   Exhibit O, which is a financial projection that is based
24
   upon those documents, and I just would like to make sure
```

while we have the weekend to prepare, we have Your Honor's

25

clear opinion on how we're going to treat highly confidential documents need -- show the Court the differences between the Debtors' produced documents versus what's in their financial projections.

MR. PEREZ: Your Honor, I think we've handled that in other cases by, you know, the Court will have the document, the -- a deponent will have the document and opposing counsel will have the document and everybody who signs a protective order will have the document, and I think the testimony can proceed on that basis.

We've have done that routinely, you know, in other contested matters before the Court. I think, you know, we can agree and, you know, have the deponents have whatever documents they, you know, highly confidential documents they want them to have. I don't think that is a, you know, a gating item. I think that's just a --

THE COURT: So a couple of things about that.

It's possible, Mr. Brescia, that there would be a question that you would ask that would require the disclosure of the contents of a highly confidential document.

In general, I'll just tell you, my experience in these COVID hearings has been -- it turns out not to be an issue because everybody can read along, and you can find a way to word the question without actually disclosing the confidential information, either the question or the answer.

```
But if required, I have the ability with our technology to close the audio and video, but for those people who are entitled to know it just as we would do in a live, open court.
```

So if we get there, we'll do it. I would prefer to find a way to ask people to look at, you know, the second sentence of the third paragraph, read it to yourself, and then you answer the question about that. And then if the party designating it as confidential thinks that invades the confidence, I'm not going to stop you from asking the question. We may go into that kind of a sealed hearing.

But we can do that through the audio and visual technique.

It's harder, I will tell you that.

I think it may make sense, though, Mr. Perez, given the large number that Mr. Brescia is describing. I know that you sent everything over and under seal, and I have this big stack of sealed documents that aren't organized very well. I wonder if it wouldn't make some sense to go ahead and deliver to me a notebook that has all sealed exhibits in it so that I've got them in sequential order, and they're readily locatable, and then everyone else already --

MR. PEREZ: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- has them, I think.

MR. PEREZ: Yep.

```
1
              THE COURT: That would makes things more --
 2
              MR. BRESCIA: Your Honor, one more piece to that.
 3
              THE COURT: -- go ahead, Mr. Brescia.
 4
              MR. BRESCIA: Yeah if I may. It was -- and I --
 5
    maybe, I'm just unclear what the Debtor has provided and
 6
    other parties, not just my client, but a number of these
 7
    documents are Excel spreadsheets that are dynamic, and just
    so long as the Court has the ability to see those in
 8
 9
    electronic form, that's just a question --
10
              THE COURT: Yeah. So I haven't --
11
              MR. BRESCIA: -- not an order for today.
12
              THE COURT: -- I haven't opened any of the -- I
    haven't electronically opened anything yet, but several of
13
    these have attached flash drives to them that I assume will
14
    have the electronic version of the spreadsheet, and those
15
   were sent over under seal, but I have not plugged them into
16
   my computer and looked at any of them, yet.
17
18
              MR. BRESCIA: Yeah, I believe there was a number
    of those that were corrupted as we tried to download some.
19
20
    I think that's being corrected by the Debtor so, you know,
21
    no trouble there. I just want to make sure that if -- you
22
    know, those issues were addressed here today at this Court's
23
   hearing.
24
              THE COURT: Yeah, let's -- I -- let's be sure I've
25
    got all the right --
```

MR. BRESCIA: Things are done correctly -
THE COURT: -- flash drives and, you know, I will

find a way to run them through our security software here

and try to avoid getting fired as I do that.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, if I may? Would it be helpful if we sent a flash drive that has all of the Debtors' exhibits in one place -- the sealed and unsealed on the flash -- on the flash drive?

THE COURT: Well, if these are things that I need to look at in native format, whether they are sealed or unsealed, I can only do that off of a flash drive. So, yes, as to that.

But the balance of the documents, for example, if there's a document -- we'll take the Declaration at 1521 -- I don't need you to send that over to me again because I'm just going to have you put up any other document that you reference on the screen, and we'll look at it on the screen. And anything you can put up on the screen off the docket sheet, I can put up on the screen myself and look at one of the computers.

My own setup is, I've got three screens. I've got one with faces, I've got one with whatever document you're showing me, and I've got another one with whatever document I want to look at just so you know what my -- and I can also divide each of these, so I could end up with six screens if

```
I wanted, but I don't.
1
              So does that make sense?
2
 3
              MS. CHOI: Yes. And I take it, you do not want
 4
   printed copies then?
 5
              THE COURT: I don't think so. I can live with
 6
   what is here, and I think that's more fair to everybody
7
    else. It's just on the sealed ones, I've got to have
   printed ones, and so on those, if you'll put them in a
 9
   notebook and just include a flash drive for anything that is
10
   expected in native format.
              Mr. Brescia, if there's something in native format
11
12
   that I don't have, we'll find a way to get it transmitted
    over here either with a flash drive or a messenger can bring
13
14
    it. Maybe, I can receive it by email, but that may not be
15
    as safe as the flash drive, but we'll get them here.
16
              And then --
17
              MR. BRESCIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: -- the other issue is, if there are
   native format matters that are not sealed, you all can put
19
20
    them up on the screen just off your -- you know, your
21
    witness can use the native format. All right?
22
              Mr. Genender, I think you had something you needed
23
    to add to that. Go ahead.
24
              MR. GENENDER: I -- Your Honor, I -- thank you.
```

Paul Genender, for the Debtors.

25

```
1
              I did not. Mr. Perez mailed it.
 2
              THE COURT: That's unusual, but okay.
 3
         (Laughter.)
 4
              MR. EISENBERG: Your Honor, Philip Eisenberg, may
 5
    I add something?
 6
              THE COURT: Sure, go ahead, Mr. Eisenberg.
 7
              MR. EISENBERG: And it is -- it's not what you
    would expect. Maybe it is. I don't know. I just wanted
 8
 9
    to, you know, bring to the Court's attention, the utmost
10
   professionalism of Debtors' counsel, Mr. Perez,
   Mr. Genender, and particularly, Ms. Choi and Mr. Carlson, in
11
    dealing with us and working through the Witness and Exhibit
12
    Lists and, you know, many of the documents we can admit, but
13
   not for the truth.
14
15
              We're working through that now, and we just -- I
    just I would be remiss if I didn't point that out, Your
16
17
    Honor. We may -- this may be a fluid dynamic situation, and
18
    there may be things changing that under the rules that would
    require some relief one way or the other, but it is not
19
   because of the professionalism of Debtors' counsel.
20
              THE COURT: It's very kind of you to say that.
21
22
    Too many people forget that sometimes we have fights even
23
    when people are acting in the utmost professional and
24
    ethical way, and thank you for reminding us all of that,
25
   Mr. Eisenberg.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EISENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate it. MR. BRESCIA: Your Honor, yeah, Duane Brescia. I did have two comments, but we got pretty far astray on the Excel spreadsheets. We do have an opening argument we'd like to present. I would like to address that whole concept of opening arguments as well. I know a number of objectors who would like to do that. I do not know if the Debtor intends to or not, but I just wanted to raise that to the Court's attention. THE COURT: Yeah. Is there a way that we can agree today that we'll give X minutes to the proponents, whoever that is, and X minutes to the opponents, whoever they are, and then make you all divide up proponent and opponent time so that we have, let's say, 30 minutes of opening argument by proponents of the Plan and 30 minutes by opponents to the plan, or do you think that that's not going to be adequate for all the opponents put together?

MR. BRESCIA: Your Honor, Duane Brescia, for Zurich.

I know we're probably 10 to 15 minutes, but there is 40 objections, and I don't speak for all of them, and the Apache sureties probably combined could do it in 30, but there are so many other parties, and they would have to

speak up if they're willing -- interested or not.

THE COURT: All right. Look, if you all can reach an agreement that -- on how to divide that up. I don't know how to group people, and so if we can't, we can't. I'm going to let you do opening arguments. I may cut people off if you're telling me stuff I don't need to hear, but I actually very much need opening arguments, if you believe that, you know, to explain the deal.

So it's not like I'm looking to cut people off, but please don't stand up and just, you know, agree with somebody else's statement. That is not educational to me.

I'm -- one thing I will not be doing, is taking a vote.

So, you know, if you're going to make an opening statement, tell me something I'm -- that you're concerned I don't know, and you'll probably hit it right. If you're concerned I don't know it, I probably don't, but I'm going to allow opening statements if that's what parties want.

MR. BRESCIA: Well, we think opening statements are pretty important here particularly because of some of the complex structures and the number of documents and transactions that are being accomplished here.

As I said many months ago, this case is like some of the other cases we had, but much -- multiplied, and so we do -- that's one of the reasons why we want to do opening argument, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I wouldn't want to -- you're going to get them. You're going to get them.

MR. BRESCIA: I appreciate --

THE COURT: I just hope you all will find a way not to -- and I know no one will do it on purpose -- but let's just be efficient about how we're doing it.

MR. BRESHCIA: Well, we've really been working as a surety group to do that, Your Honor.

I had a point. We had a meet-and-confer with the Debtors and, there was a point, and we touched on it, but I didn't follow up with Mr. Genender on it, but, Your Honor, there is a witness, Mr. Graham, that they had consented to bring to the trial for me, and they had put him on his list, and they are going to call him in their case-in-chief; and to be efficient with Mr. Graham, I don't mind if I have to do my direct of Mr. Graham, if I have direct that's not a cross, when he's there in their case, as long as they don't mind, but I'm very aware that, you know, in doing cross, you're usually limited what happens in direct, and because I -- they agreed to bring him for my case, I just wanted to make sure that we could all agree to that in efficiency and for the better to Mr. Graham.

THE COURT: Is there anyone that has an objection to me ordering that, as to all witnesses, we are not going to enforce the scope limitation, and in fact, do the

```
1
    opposite, which is each witness is going to be called one
 2
    time. You can violate the scope because it will be both
    direct and cross. Does anyone have a problem with that --
 3
 4
              MR. GENENDER: Your Honor --
 5
              THE COURT: -- could you please speak up?
              MR. GENENDER: Your Honor, Paul Genender for the
 6
 7
    Debtors.
 8
              No objection to it. Obviously, you're carving out
 9
    true rebuttal testimony from that rule, of course, right?
10
              THE COURT: You can always do true rebuttal.
11
    addition, I'm going to make you do true direct. So --
12
              MR. GENENDER: Okay. Got it.
13
              THE COURT: -- there will be no -- yeah.
14
              MR. BRESCIA: Thank you. Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Duewall and Mr. Peck both have
16
    their hands raised. It may or may not be about this issue,
17
    but let's get this cleared out, and then we'll go to
18
   Mr. Duewall and whatever else he might want to talk about,
    and then we'll go to Mr. Peck and whatever else he might
19
    want to talk about.
20
21
              So does anyone have a problem that cross-
22
    examination will not be scope limited, nor will any redirect
23
   be scope limited? We're going to allow parties to violate
24
    that rule and require that each witness be called only one
25
    time unless there is some, you know, reason why they need to
```

```
1
   be called back as a rebuttal witness. Maybe that's the
2
   point Mr. Genender was making. I'm not going to preclude
 3
   you from calling somebody in rebuttal if something new comes
 4
   up, but everything that you're anticipating putting on needs
 5
    to be put on the first time they're called.
 6
             Any problem with that?
7
             Let's see. Mr. Singer? Mr. Singer?
8
             MR. SINGER: Hello, Your Honor. Kelly Singer on
 9
   behalf of Ecopetrol. I don't have a comment on your instant
10
    question, but I just wanted to be heard about a different
11
    issue towards the end.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's you do Mr. Duewall,
13
   and then we will do Mr. Peck, and then we'll do Mr. Singer.
             Mr. Duewall.
14
15
         (No audible response.)
              THE COURT: Mr. Duewall, if you're speaking, I
16
17
    can't hear you.
18
             MR. DUEWALL: Is that better, Your Honor?
             THE COURT: It is.
19
20
             MR. DUEWALL: Good. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
    Duewall on behalf of BP. Sorry about that. We were muted
22
   here.
23
              I had my hand raised to ask the question about
    opening statements. The Court has addressed that issue
24
```

that, you know, we're uniquely situated, and so we will

25

```
certainly do our best during opening statements not to
1
    repeat any issues that have been raised, but do know BP's --
2
 3
    some of our issues are unique, and so that was another
 4
    reason that I had my hand raised, Your Honor.
 5
              Thank you.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Duewall.
7
             Mr. Peck.
8
             MR. KADDEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is
 9
   actually Benjamin Kadden --
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Kadden. Mr. Kadden, good
11
   afternoon.
12
             MR. KADDEN: -- on behalf of Atlantic. How are
   you, Judge?
13
              I was -- just wanted to clarify. We actually have
14
   a motion to lift stay which is set contemporaneously with
15
   the confirmation hearing tomorrow. Wanted to confirm that,
16
17
   that will be carried similarly to Monday beginning at
18
    8:00 a.m.
19
             THE COURT: It will. I'm holding no hearings
   tomorrow at all in recognition of the federal holiday. All
20
21
   hearings in -- that are Fieldwood scheduled for tomorrow are
    continued until 8:00 a.m. on Monday. Other hearings, I'm
22
23
   moving by separate orders.
24
             MR. KADDEN: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kadden.

25

1 Mr. Singer. 2 MR. SINGER: Thank you, Your Honor. Kelly Singer 3 on behalf of Ecopetrol America. 4 Your Honor, we're not part of this overall dispute 5 that involves the sureties, and I am perfectly fine with 6 starting at 8:00 a.m. your time, which is 6:00 a.m. my time 7 tomorrow with my witness, but I just don't think that my issue is going to get called. If it gets called at all, it 8 9 won't get called until later in the day, and so I was 10 wondering if there is a way to -- I'm okay with appearing, 11 but I just didn't know if I needed my witness who I'm submitting through Declaration testimony -- I just didn't 12 think that they need to be on for the full, you know, the 13 full shebang that this hearing is going to be on Monday when 14 15 our issue is relatively important to us, but it's relatively 16 small in grand scheme of Monday. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Singer, is your witness going to 18 be part of the proponents' case, or will it be part of the 19 opponents' case? 20 MR. SINGER: We have objected to the Plan, so it 21 would be the opponents' case. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. SINGER: It's not the same --24 THE COURT: You --25 MR. SINGER: -- issues --

```
THE COURT: -- will receive not less than one
1
   hour's notice before your witness has to be called. How's
 2
 3
    that?
 4
              MR. SINGER: That's great, Your Honor. Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Just remind me that
 6
    I promised you that hour, but I'm going to give you at least
7
    an hour to get your witness here. Let him sleep.
8
              MR. SINGER: Thank you.
 9
              THE COURT: Thank you.
10
              MR. SINGER: And I will remind you.
11
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              And given -- if you are limited in the nature of
12
13
   what you're doing, it's at your own risk because I don't
    know what might happen that you might not like early in the
14
15
    day, but you're free not to show up at 8:00 a.m. You can
16
    show up later. I'm just not going to redo something I've
17
   already done.
18
              So I'll let you measure your own risk on that if
    that's okay, Mr. Singer.
19
20
              MR. SINGER: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you.
22
              Does anyone else have anything that would be
23
   helpful to cover this afternoon?
24
         (No audible response.)
25
              THE COURT: Okay. I will see you all Monday at
```

```
8:00 a.m. Mr. Perez, I -- so that everybody knows -- Monday
 1
    at 8:00 a.m., I had a pre-mediation conference in a case
 2
 3
    that Mr. Perez was counsel on. That -- if you will just
 4
    give everybody notice, Mr. Perez, as to when I'm going to
 5
   move that to. I'm going to move it to Wednesday at
 6
    8:00 a.m., Wednesday, the 23rd. If you will let people to
 7
    that know.
 8
              MR. PEREZ: I will do that, Your Honor. Thank
 9
    you.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you. Sorry about the
11
    inconvenience to those clients, but obviously, a good reason
    for doing it.
12
13
              Okay. Anything else?
              MR. PEREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Thank, you all.
15
16
              Okay. We're in adjournment until 5:00 o'clock
17
    today.
18
         (Hearing adjourned at 4:37 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
 2
    transcript to the best of my ability due to the condition of
 3
    the electronic sound recording of the ZOOM/telephonic
 4
    proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 5
    /S/ MARY D. HENRY
 6
    CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
 7
    ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
 8
    JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
 9
    JTT TRANSCRIPT #64126
10
    DATE FILED: JUNE 24, 2021
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```